

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www wayto gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/754,498	01/12/2004	Kazuya Oda	0378-0404P	8273	
2292 7590 01/08/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAM	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			QUIETT, CARRAMAH J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2622	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/08/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/754,498 ODA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Carramah J. Quiett

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 November 2008.

2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-9 and 11-16 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-2, 4-9 and 11-16 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)

All b)

□ Some * c)

□ None of:

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Thotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e)/Mail Date ____

 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/754,498 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/10/2008 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

The amendment(s), filed on 11/10/2008, have been entered and made of record. Claims
 1-2, 4-9 and 11-16 are pending.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-9 and 11-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found
 in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8-9, 11-12, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashita et al. (U.S.#6,750,437) in view of Perregaux et al. (U.S. Pat. #5,119,181), Suzuki (U.S. Pat. #6,831,687) and Okino et al. (U.S. Pat. #5,633,677).

As for claim 1, Yamashita teaches a method of controlling a solid-state image pickup apparatus (first embodiment, figs. 2-3 and 7), comprising:

Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

a preparing step of preparing a solid-state image pickup apparatus configured to process and output an image signal output from a solid-state image sensor that converts an optical image representative of a field and focused on said solid-state image sensor by a lens to the image signal (col. 7, lines 4-6 and 49 – col. 8, line 11), said solid-state image sensor including a plurality of composite pixels (fig. 2, refs. 309) which are arranged in a photosensitive array (col. 3, lines 38-50) and each of which includes of a main photosensitive cell (figs. 2/3, ref. 301), having a first area, (col. 4, lines 41-50) and an auxiliary photosensitive cell (figs. 2/3, ref. 302) of a same color (inherently) as the main photosensitive cell (col. 3, lines 51-58; col. 4, lines 4-11), the auxiliary photosensitive cell, having a second area (col. 4, lines 57-62) [the auxiliary photosensitive cell inherently has the same color as the main photosensitive cell because Yamashita teaches that *a color filter* is provided for each of the pixels and a pixel corresponds to a point indicating *a color*. Please read col. 2, lines 51-58 and col. 4, lines 4-11], and respectively formed by main photosensitive portion and an auxiliary photosensitive portion (col. 3, lines 38-50; col. 7, line 49 – col. 8, line 11),

Yamashita also discloses a plurality of microlenses (fig. 3) respectively positioned in said plurality of composite pixels focusing incident light (col. 3, lines 51-58), and only a single color component filter segment positioned in each of said plurality composite pixels (col. 3, lines 51-58), a plurality of color component filter segments being provided in a preselected (indicating) color component filter pattern (col. 4, lines 4-11):

- a photometry step of executing photometry with the field (col. 7, lines 49-52);
- a signal processing step of processing the image signal and (col. 8, lines 2-11);

a control step of switching signal processing of said signal processing step in accordance with a result of photometry executed said photometry step (col. 7, line 49 – col. 8, line 11);

wherein said control step includes estimating influence of shading on the image signals from the relatively high photosensitive cell and the relatively low photosensitive cell (col. 12, line 60 – col. 13, line 2), and

Yamashita does not expressly disclose the auxiliary photosensitive cell having a second area smaller than the first area and a sensitivity lower than the main photosensitive cell, estimating influence of shading on the image signals based on a detected zoom position, and wherein, in the signal processing step, color difference gain processing for the image signal being switched in accordance with the detected zoom position to thereby lower a chroma of the image signal, the color difference gain processing including adding gains to color difference data Cr and Cb.

In a similar field of endeavor, Perregaux discloses a solid-state image sensor (fig. 9 including a plurality of composite pixels (ref. 66) which are arranged in a photosensitive array and each of which includes a main photosensitive cell (ref. 66), inherently having a first area, and an auxiliary photosensitive cell (ref. 67), having a second area smaller than the first area and a sensitivity lower than the main photosensitive cell (col. 5, lines 22-32). In light of the teachings of Perregaux, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a main photosensitive cell, having a first area, and an auxiliary photosensitive cell, having a second area smaller than the first area in the apparatus of Yamashita in order to adjust the ratio of the photosensitive areas thereby compensating for differences in spectral sensitivity (Perregaux, col. 5, lines 22-32).

Application/Control Number: 10/754,498

Art Unit: 2622

In a similar field of endeavor, Suzuki discloses a solid-state image pickup apparatus wherein said control step includes estimating influence of shading (reduction of received light intensity) on the image signals based on a detected zoom position, and wherein, in the signal processing step, color difference gain processing for the image signal being switched in accordance with the detected zoom position to thereby lower a chroma of the image signal. Please read col. 6, line 35 – col. 8, line 67. In light of the teachings of Suzuki, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the solid-state image pickup apparatus Yamashita in view of Perregaux of the solid-state image pickup apparatus as recited in claim 1. This modification suppresses the phenomena of color loss and color fog thereby providing high picture quality (Suzuki, col. 1, line 46 – col. 2, line 8).

In a similar field of endeavor, Okino teaches that the color difference gain processing including adding gains to color difference data Cr and Cb (col. 5, line 57 — col. 6, line 22). In light of the teachings of Suzuki, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the processing of Yamashita in view of Perregaux and Suzuki in order to have variable gain thereby providing improved adequate color (Okino col. 3, lines 40-47).

For claim 2, Yamashita, as modified by Perregaux, Suzuki and Okino, teaches the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein a control step variably controls the signal processing for the image signal in accordance with a focal distance of the lens. Please read Yamashita, col. 7, line 49 – col. 8, line 11 and Suzuki, col. 6, line 35 – col. 8, line 67.

For claim 4, Yamashita, as modified by Perregaux and Suzuki, discloses the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein said signal-processing step that further includes tone Application/Control Number: 10/754,498

Art Unit: 2622

correction processing for the image signal switched in accordance with the control of the control step (Suzuki, col. 7, lines 21-35). Also please read Yamashita, col. 7, line 49 – col. 8, line 11.

For claim 5, Yamashita, as modified by Perregaux Suzuki and Okino, discloses the method in accordance with claim 4, wherein said signal-processing step a gamma table to use is switched in accordance with the control of the control step (Yamashita, col. 7, line 49 – col. 8, line 11; Suzuki, col. 6, lines 1-7).

Claims 8-9 and 11-12 are apparatus claim corresponding to the method claims 1-2 and 45. Therefore, claims 8-9 and 11-12 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-2 and 4-5.

For claim 15, Yamashita, as modified by Perregaux Suzuki and Okino, teaches a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein the main photosensitive cell has a region provided obliquely with regard to a horizontal direction, and the auxiliary photosensitive cell is provided in a space defined by the region (Perregaux; fig. 9; col. 5, lines 1-32).

Claim 16 is an apparatus claim corresponding to the method claim 15. Therefore, claim 16 is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 15.

6. Claims 6-7 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashita et al. (U.S.#6,750,437) in view of Perregaux et al. (U.S. Pat. #5,119,181) Suzuki (U.S. Pat. #6,831,687) and Okino et al. (U.S. Pat. #5,633,677) as applied to claims 1 and 8, respectively above, and further in view of Ng et al. (U.S.#5,699,102).

For claim 6, Yamashita, as modified by Perregaux Suzuki and Okino, teaches the method in accordance with claim 1, wherein said control step determines photometry (Yamashita, col. 7,

Art Unit: 2622

line 49 – col. 8, line 11; Suzuki, col. 12, lines 29-34). However, Yamashita nor Suzuki and Perregaux do not expressly teach a method wherein said control step determines the shading on the basis of the result of photometry and switches the processing of said signal processing step in accordance with a result of determination.

In a similar field of endeavor, Ng (as illustrated in figure 1 and 2) discloses an imaging device with a controller that compensates the shading on the basis of the photometry result along with a gain/filter corrector (col. 2, lines 47-67; col. 3, lines 1-11). In light of the teaching of Ng, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Yamashita, as modified by Perregaux and Suzuki, to include the control step of Ng in order to standardize the image signals and thus improve the quality of the image (col. 2, lines 61-67).

For **claim 7**, Yamashita, as modified by Perregaux, Suzuki, Okino, and Ng, teaches wherein said photometry step that executes (Yamashita, col. 7, line 49 – col. 8, line 11) divisional photometry with the field on the basis of the image signal output from the image sensor, and wherein said control step determines shading on the basis of a result of said divisional photometry. In the Ng patent, please see figure 2 and read col. 2, lines 61-67. Including the photometry step standardizes the image signals and thus improves the quality of the image.

Claims 13-14 are apparatus claims corresponding to the method claims 6-7. Therefore, claims 13-14 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 6-7.

Art Unit: 2622

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carramah J. Quiett whose telephone number is (571)272-7316. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NgocYen Vu can be reached on (571) 272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. J. Q./

Examiner, Art Unit 2622 December 22, 2008

December 22, 2008